UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND Baltimore Division

YURY SHADRIN, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

STUDENT LOAN SOLUTIONS, LLC, et al.

Defendants

Case No. 1:20-cv-3641-CCB

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT FELDMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.'S MOTION TO DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs, Yury Shadrin and Tania Burinskas, by their undersigned counsel, do hereby oppose Defendant Feldman & Associates, P.C.'s Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment ("Motion to Dismiss") and in support thereof state:

- 1. Plaintiffs have elected, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), with written consent of Defendants, to amend their original complaint. See ECF. Nos. 32 and 34.
- 2. As a result of the amendment, the Motion to Dismiss is moot since it concerns a pleading no longer before the Court. See Taylor v. Abate, 1995 WL 362488, *2 (E.D.N.Y.1995) ("Defendants' motion to dismiss is addressed solely to the original complaint...Consequently, upon the filing of the amended complaint, their motion is mooted and, therefore, denied."); In re Colonial Ltd. Partnership Litig., 854 F.Supp. 64, 80 (D.Conn.1994) (noting where "a plaintiff amends its complaint while a motion to dismiss is pending" the court may "deny[] the motion as moot"); Rathke v. HCA Management Co., Inc., 1989

WL 161431, at *1 n. 1 (D.Kan.1989) (holding that "motion to dismiss...became moot when plaintiff filed an amended complaint"); *Gresham v. Waffle House, Inc.*, 586 F.Supp. 1442, 1444 n. 1 (N.D.Ga.1984) (same).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court deny without prejudice Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF. 10) as moot.

Dated: March 15, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Kathleen P. Hyland, Esq. Kathleen P. Hyland Fed. Bar No. 30075 HYLAND LAW FIRM, LLC 222 Severn Avenue, Suite 17 Annapolis, MD 21403 Telephone: (410) 777-5396 Facsimile: (410) 777-8237 kat@lawhyland.com

AND

/s/Peter A. Holland, Esq.
Peter A. Holland
Fed. Bar No. 10866
THE HOLLAND LAW FIRM, P.C.
914 Bay Ridge Rd. Ste 230
Annapolis, MD 21403
Telephone: (410) 280-6133
Facsimile: (410) 280-8650
peter@hollandlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on March 15, 2021 the foregoing Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Defendant Feldman & Associates, P.C.'s Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment, was served electronically through the Court's ECF system upon:

James Dickerman, Esq. Eccleston & Wolf Baltimore-Washington Law Center 7240 Parkway Drive, 4th Floor Hanover, MD 21076 Dickerman@ewmd.com

James M. Connolly, Esq. Kramer & Connolly 465 Main Street Reisterstown, Maryland 21136 jmc@kramerslaw.com

Jeffrey C. Turner David B. Shaver Surdyk, Dowd & Turner Co., L.P.A. 8163 Old Yankee Street, Suite C Dayton, OH 45458 jturner@sdtlawyers.com dshaver@sdtlawyers.com

> /s/ Kathleen P. Hyland Kathleen P. Hyland, Esq.